ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M-07713 Alaskan Copper Works Client:

Project: Metro Self Monitor, M07713, F&BI 109423 Date Received: 09/29/11

Lab ID: Date Extracted: 10/03/11 109423-01 x10 Date Analyzed: 10/03/11 Data File: 109423-01 x10.046

ICPMS1 Matrix: Water Instrument: Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: Limit: Limit: % Recovery:

Germanium 81 60 125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 255 Nickel 146 Copper 181 Zinc <10

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor, M07713, F&BI 109423

 Date Extracted:
 10/03/11
 Lab ID:
 I1-687 mb

 Date Analyzed:
 10/03/11
 Data File:
 I1-687 mb.040

 Matrix:
 Water
 Instrument:
 ICPMS1

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)
 Operator:
 AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 91 60 125

Analyte: Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1

 Nickel
 <1</td>

 Copper
 <1</td>

 Zinc
 <1</td>

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/05/11 Date Received: 09/29/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor, M07713, F&BI 109423

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 109435-04 (Matrix Spike)

				$\mathbf{Percent}$	$\mathbf{Percent}$		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	106	102	67-132	4
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.33	102	100	73-119	2
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.18	104	101	50-144	3
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	33.3	108 b	106 b	46-148	2 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Percent									
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance Criteria							
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS								
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	66-135							
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	67-134							
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	66-134							
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	57-135							

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- ${f J}$ The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

	109423	_		MPLE CHA	IN OF C	USTO	DDY	μ	1E	00	7-2	9-1	!/	AIZ	
Sond Report To	2200 TH	(DESSA)		SAMPLERS	signature)				>		1	F T	Page# FURNAROU	of	_
Send Report To GERRIO THURSON Company ALASKAN COPPER Works				PROJECT NAME/NO. PO#					O Standard (2, Weeks)						
Address 628 S. HANFORD ST. City, State, ZIP SEATTLE WA 98/34				Metro Self monder				mO7713			Rush charges aut borized by:				
				REMARKS		14				1	SAMPLE DISPOSAL				
Phone # 206-571-603Fax # 200-382-4309									☐ Dispose after 30 days ☐ Return samples ☐ Will call with instructions						
Phone #_200-371-0	<u>602) Fax</u> #_2	20-506	-9307										call with in	structions	
	_		1 =	-		_	1 1	E I	1 3	SES RE	QUES	TED	1 1	=0. V	
Sample ID	Sample ID Lab ID Date Time		Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	HES	Cu 152				Notes		
22 - 7 - 2	-	9/1		1/-		1	BIL	× 5	2	3					
M-07713	01	9/28/11	11:30	H20		\vdash	+		= 0.	/\					
	+					+	\vdash		+	+					· · · · · ·
15 Min 100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					V	+	\vdash	<u> </u>	+	+	+				
in all the second super								+		\dashv	-				
								+			+				
								-	+	-	-				-
			_		-			-	+	-	+				=
		-								-	+			W	
	-								-	-	-	+-			
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIGNATU	RE		PRINT	NAME		\dashv	لـــا	COM	PANY		DATE	TIME	<u> </u>
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinguished by:			Coverses Thompson A					Acer			9/29/1			
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	L		T X					+BI 11			1/				
Ph. (206) 285-8282	reinquisned by:														
Fax (206) 283-5044 ORMS\COC\COC.DOC	Received by:	-											10	<u></u>	
MINIO COU COULDOC		말								Sar	nples	receiv	red at 2	Z°C	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

October 5, 2011

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on September 29, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor, M07713, F&BI 109423 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1005R.DOC